BIOMEDICINE AND CHEMICAL SCIENCES
AN INTERNATIONAL PEER-REVIEWED JOURNAL

BIOMEDICINE AND CHEMICAL SCIENCES
Website: https://journals.irapa.org/index.php/BCS
e-ISSN: 2790-296X | p-ISSN:2957-5826

Original Article

APPLICATION OF NANOPARTICLES IN MODERN DRUG DELIVERY AND TECHNOLOGY

Corresponding Author:
Shashank Sheakher Tripathi

Article History:
Received on: January 03, 2026
Revised on: February 10, 2026
Accepted on: February 20, 2026
Published on: March 10, 2026

Citation: Shashank Sheakher Tripathi *et al.* APPLICATION OF NANOPARTICLES IN MODERN DRUG DELIVERY AND TECHNOLOGY. *Biomedicine and Chemical Sciences, 5 (1): 6-24, 2026*

Shashank Sheakher Tripathi[1*], Shyam Narayan Mishra[2], Abdul Quaiyoom[3]
[1]Sardar Patel College of Pharmacy, Gorakhpur, Uttar Pradesh, India
[2]Shri Baijnath Shivkala Mahavidyalaya, Mangalpur, Barabanki-225126
[3]Suyash Institute of Pharmacy, Hakkabad, Gorakhpur, Uttar Pradesh, India.

ABSTRACT

Many novel nanocarriers have been developed as a result of recent research on modified nanoparticles in drug delivery systems (DDSs). Both conventional and contemporary drug delivery techniques are examined in this review. Nanocarriers have garnered a lot of interest because to the shortcomings of traditional DDSs. Polymeric nanoparticles, mesoporous nanoparticles, carbon nanotubes, dendrimers, liposomes, metallic nanoparticles, nanomedicine, and tailored nanomaterials—all of which are intended to deliver medications to certain locations within the body—are among them. Rapid advancements in nanomedicine are being used to treat a variety of illnesses, including lung, breast, brain, and cardiovascular ailments. Improved medication bioavailability, quicker absorption, regulated release, inhibition of drug aggregation, and increased bloodstream solubility are just a few benefits of these systems. By maximising the therapeutic potential of active pharmaceutical components encased in nanoparticles, nanomedicine represents a revolutionary breakthrough in drug delivery. Important information about manufactured nanoparticles and their uses in targeted medication delivery for a range of illnesses is compiled in this overview. The majority of these nanocarriers have been tested both in vivo and in vitro. Future developments in nanomedicine are anticipated to greatly improve human health by increasing the efficacy of drug delivery systems.

Keywords: Drug Delivery, Nanomedicine, Therapeutics, Nanoparticles, Personalized Medicine.

INTRODUCTION

Many different diseases have long been treated with drug delivery systems (DDSs). To have therapeutic effects, all pharmaceuticals rely on pharmacologically active substances, or drugs [1]. Certain medications are given as inactive precursors, which the body must metabolise before they become active [2]. The way these medications are administered has a big impact on how well they work. Drugs were typically given orally, nasally, via inhalation, through mucosal routes, or by injection in conventional drug delivery systems (CDDSs) [3]. Nevertheless, these techniques frequently led to inadequate absorption, haphazard distribution, inadvertent harm to healthy tissues, early removal from the body, and extended treatment durations [4].

Enzymatic breakdown, pH fluctuations, mucosal barriers, imprecise targeting, and the quick release of medications that could raise systemic toxicity were some of the reasons that limited their efficacy [5]. The deliberate engineering and manipulation of particulate matter into a physical state of 1 nm to 100 nm that may be rearranged or reassembled into nano-systems with enhanced functionality is known as nanotechnology [6]. For example, Ireland has been at the forefront of scientific research in the past ten years due to the development of nanotechnology and its applications [2]. Depending on their size, nanoparticles—the final product of technological alteration of matter—are a few degrees bigger than an

atom as a result of the molecular processing of matter. Compared to traditional substances, they are highly adaptable and can be adjusted to obtain a specific characteristic or targeted properties like high surface area because they have enhanced characteristics like auto-reactive stability and self-reassembly [3, 4]. Significant progress in the discipline was sparked by physicist Richard Feynman's 1959 speech "There's Plenty of Room at the Bottom," which introduced the idea of nanotechnology [15]. At the nexus of several scientific fields, including physics, chemistry, biology, engineering, information technology, electronics, and materials science, nanotechnology entails the study and manipulation of incredibly small structures [16]. Structures at the nanoscale usually fall between 1 to 100 nm [17]. Nanoparticles have a variety of uses in engineering, drug delivery, nanomedicine, environmental remediation, catalysis, and the treatment of diseases like melanoma, cardiovascular diseases (CVD), skin disorders, liver diseases, and more because of their submicroscopic size [18]. Drug efficiency and bioavailability could be increased by combining nanotechnology with medicine [19]. Since the late 1970s, the relationship between nanoparticles and biomedicine has been acknowledged, resulting in over 10,000 publications mentioning nanomedicine, of which about thirty had appeared by 2005 [20]. Over 1,000 publications on nanomedicine have been published in the Web of Science by 2015, the majority of which concentrated on the biological uses of nanoparticles [21]. Advanced forms of nanocarriers include dendrimers, liposomes, peptide-based nanoparticles, carbon nanotubes, quantum dots, polymer-based nanoparticles, inorganic vectors, lipid-based nanoparticles, hybrid nanoparticles, and metallic nanoparticles [22]. These nanocarriers are increasingly used in drug delivery, microfluidics, biosensors, microarrays, and tissue engineering for targeted disease treatment [23–25]. Nanoparticles can selectively target and destroy cancer cells, offering promising cancer therapies [26]. For example, in 2015, the FDA approved clinical trials for Onivyde, a nanomedicine used in cancer treatment [27]. Nanocarriers possess specific physicochemical properties that enhance drug solubility, stability, targeting, degradation control, clearance, theragnostic, and combination therapies [28]. Protein-based nanomedicines have also been explored, in which various protein subunits assemble to deliver drugs directly to tumors [29]. These protein-based nanocarriers include nanoparticles, hydrogels, films, microspheres, nanorods, and Mini pellets, constructed from proteins such as ferritin cages, small heat shock proteins (sHsp), plant-derived viral capsids, albumin, soy and whey proteins, collagen, and gelatine [30,31]. Nanomedicine represents a new era in drug delivery, enabling the design of targeted structures that carry multifunctional payloads with improved pharmacokinetics, specificity, efficacy, and safety (Figure 2) [32,33]. Failures in traditional chemotherapy have increased the risk of disease recurrence, further complicating the treatment of life-threatening conditions [34].

History

Petros and his associate examined nanotechnology advancements that date back to the middle of the 20th century. The first conjugation of polymers with drugs occurred in 1955 [35], the first controlled-release polymer device was introduced in 1964, Bangham discovered liposomes in 1965, albumin-based nanoparticles appeared in 1972, liposome-based drugs were developed in 1973, the first micelle formulation was developed and approved in 1983, the FDA approved the first controlled-release formulation in 1989, and the first polyethylene glycol (PEG)–protein conjugate hit the market in 1990 [36]. As summarised, subsequent research has yielded extremely promising results for the treatment of numerous disorders.

Over the past three decades, various types of nanoparticles (NPs) have been developed as drug delivery systems, each with unique approaches, disease targets, applications, characterization methods, and reported studies. In 1991, poly-alkyl-cyanoacrylate nanoparticles were introduced as carriers for site-specific drug delivery in cancer therapy, particularly for chemotherapy and intracellular antibiotherapy, characterized by scanning electron microscopy [37,38]. In 1992, calcium hydroxyapatite ceramic (CHC) was used to load gentamicin in porous blocks for treating chronic osteomyelitis in animal models, retaining bactericidal activity despite the absence of in vivo experiments [39,40]. By 1993, micro- and nanoparticles were explored for oral immunization, with mechanisms such as self-diffusion and pulsed drug release, evaluated through in vitro experiments [41,42]. In 1994, acrylic acid copolymer nanoparticles were applied to enhance opsonin targeting and the reticuloendothelial system, analysed by small-angle X-ray scattering [43,44].

In 1995, poly-alkyl-cyanoacrylate (PECA) nanoparticles entrapping ofloxacin and perfloxacin improved antimicrobial activity for bacterial infections, characterized by freeze-fracture electron microscopy [45,46]. Protein and peptide-based nanoparticles were applied in 1996 for Alzheimer’s disease, delivering monoclonal antibodies and recombinant proteins across the blood–brain barrier (BBB) via chimeric peptide approaches, although physiological characterization was not performed [47,48]. In 1997, nanoparticles were utilized in intra-arterial catheter-based delivery for restenosis, showing high biocompatibility without injury [49,50]. By 1998, deblock copolymer nanoparticles in micelles and nanospheres were developed to sustain drug release, enhance solubility, and improve circulation time, though no in vivo characterization was reported [51,52].

In 1999, chitosan nanoparticles enhanced insulin absorption through the nasal cavity for diabetes management, characterized by zeta potential and photon correlation spectroscopy [53,54]. In 2000, liposomes combined with hyperthermia improved targeted drug delivery for ovarian carcinoma, demonstrating effective results in experiments

[55,56]. PEGylated poly-cyano-acrylate nanoparticles introduced in 2001 extended drug circulation for prion diseases, with higher brain and spleen uptake in scrapie-infected models [57,58]. Transferrin-mediated receptor endocytosis in 2002 enabled targeted drug and gene delivery to cancer and brain diseases by exploiting transferrin receptor pathways, though characterization was limited [59,60].

By 2003, L-nanoparticles demonstrated targeted delivery to hepatocytes for Hepatitis B, hepatocellular carcinoma, and haemophilia, showing specificity in xenograft mouse models [61,62]. Colloidal gold nanoparticles in 2004 served as vectors for tumor necrosis factor delivery in carcinoma models, analysed by TEM and light scattering [63,64]. In 2005, folate-conjugated liposomes carrying chemotherapeutics and DNA enabled targeted gene transfer in nasopharyngeal and prostate cancer cells [65,66], while folate-conjugated starch nanoparticles in 2006 targeted liver cancer with doxorubicin, reducing toxicity and enhancing targeting [67,68]. Gold nanoparticles in 2007 facilitated drug and gene delivery in carcinoma cells with reduced toxicity and high transfection efficiency [69,70], and PEGylated gold nanoparticles in 2008 improved in vivo photodynamic cancer therapy with targeted tumor delivery [71,72].

Alginate/chitosan nanoparticles developed in 2009 optimized size and loading parameters for drug delivery via zeta potential and FTIR analysis [73,74], while mesoporous silica nanoparticles in 2010 achieved targeted methotrexate delivery to tumor cells with high cell specificity and low cytotoxicity [75,76]. Nano-diamonds in 2011 delivered small interfering RNA into cancer cells efficiently without structural damage, as confirmed by FTIR and zeta potential analysis [77,78]. Silver nanoparticles emerged in 2012–2014 as vectors for antimicrobial and photo-activated gene silencing, synthesized through green methods and characterized by UV-visible spectroscopy, TEM, and FTIR, showing applications in malaria, dengue, and vector control [79–84].

Polyamidoamine nanoparticles in 2015 served as carriers for anti-malarial drugs, improving solubility, circulation time, and targeting with reduced toxicity [85,86]. Solid lipid nanoparticles in 2016 enabled delivery of photosensitizers and flavonoid derivatives for colon cancer treatment, evaluated by confocal microscopy and DLS [87,88]. In 2017, filamentous bacteriophage-based nanoparticles facilitated targeted drug and gene delivery for bacterial and viral diseases, representing a unique virus-based system [89,90]. Mesoporous silica nanoparticles in 2018 were engineered for siRNA delivery through electrostatic absorption, offering a cost-effective non-viral vector solution [91,92]. Chitosan nanoparticles in 2019 proved versatile for ocular, nasal, pulmonary, buccal, and mucosal drug delivery, including vaccine delivery and cancer treatment [93,94].

In 2020, folic-acid-functionalized mesoporous silica nanoparticles were developed as pH-sensitive systems for targeted cancer therapy, offering prolonged drug release characterized by XRD, TEM, HNMR, SEM, and TGA [95,96]. In 2021, novel silver nanoparticles were applied for mRNA and DNA delivery to stimulate immune responses against SARS-CoV-2, while lipid-based, metal, and resveratrol–zinc nanoparticles demonstrated roles in COVID-19 prevention and therapy, including vaccines and immune sensors [97–100]. In 2022, iridium oxide and chitosan nanoparticles were synthesized as biocompatible nanoprobes for in vivo cancer therapy and neural regeneration, combining photothermal and drug delivery capabilities without immunogenicity [101,102].

Recent Approaches in Drug Delivery Systems for Various Diseases

Brain Drug Delivery Systems and Their Types

The blood–brain barrier (BBB) is impaired in many clinical situations, including as strokes, seizures, multiple sclerosis, AIDS, diabetes, glioma, Alzheimer's disease, and Parkinson's disease [103]. Under such diseased conditions, structural remodelling of protein complexes at endothelial junctions is largely responsible for this breakdown [104]. By preventing macromolecules and tiny compounds from entering the bloodstream, the blood-brain barrier (BBB) normally maintains brain homeostasis [105]. Nevertheless, if a medication does get across the blood-brain barrier, it frequently leads to decreased bioavailability and buildup inside brain tissue, which restricts the efficacy of treating brain illnesses [106].

To overcome this challenge, advanced drug delivery systems (DDS) such as cell membrane-based DDS, virus-mediated DDS, or exosome-based DDS are engineered to possess BBB penetration ability, lesion targeting, and safety [107]. Among these, nanocarrier-assisted intranasal delivery has become a prominent method for brain therapy [108]. At an advanced stage, drugs with poor brain distribution can be loaded into nanocarriers that interact with endothelial microvessel cells of the BBB and nasal mucosa, increasing drug residence time and enabling direct nose-to-brain delivery via olfactory nerve pathways [109]. This process improves drug absorption into brain parenchyma through a secondary nose-to-blood-to-brain pathway [110].

Current strategies for brain drug delivery include viral vectors, nanoparticles, exosomes, BBB permeability enhancers, active transporter-based delivery across the BBB, alternative administration routes, nanoparticles specifically designed for brain delivery, and advanced imaging/diagnostic systems under pathological conditions [111].

Role of Nanocarriers in Alzheimer's Disease

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is one of the fastest-growing neurodegenerative disorders in the elderly, characterized by memory loss, diminished verbal abilities, and impaired spatial reasoning [112]. A hallmark of AD is the accumulation of amyloid β (Aβ) plaques, which contribute to cognitive dysfunction [113]. Nanotechnology-based drug delivery approaches have proven useful in treating such conditions [114]. In AD, delivery systems such as polymeric nanoparticles, liposomes, solid lipid nanoparticles, nanoemulsions, microemulsions, and liquid crystals are employed.

Polymeric Nanoparticles:

1. Tacrine-loaded polymeric nanoparticles administered intravenously enhance brain concentration of the drug while reducing the total dose required [115].
2. Rivastigmine-loaded polymeric nanoparticles administered intravenously improve learning and memory [116].

SLNPs:

SLNPs improve drug retention in the brain and enhance BBB permeability [117]. Examples include:Piperine-loaded SLNPs administered intraperitoneally reduce plaques and masses while enhancing acetylcholinesterase (AChE) activity [118].

1. Huperzine A-loaded SLNPs improve cognitive functions without significant irritation in rat skin studies [119].

Coating SLNPs with surfactants like polysorbate improves bioavailability [120,121]. Examples include:

1. Clozapine in a Dynasan 116 lipid matrix coated with Poloxamer 188 and Epikuron 200 for safe brain delivery [122,123].
2. Vitamin A in Glyceryl behenate lipid matrix coated with hydroxypropyl distarch [124,125].
3. Diminazine in stearic acid matrix coated with polysorbate 80 for targeted delivery [126,127].
4. Doxorubicin in stearic acid SLNPs coated with Taurodeoxycholate for effective drug delivery without loss of potency [128,129].

Liposomes:

Liposomes are promising carriers for brain-targeted drug delivery due to their capacity to encapsulate large amounts of drug and their ability to be functionalized with ligands [130–132]. Examples:

1. Curcumin–PEG-loaded liposomes showed high binding affinity to senile plaques and inhibited Aβ aggregation in ex vivo studies, with BBB uptake demonstrated in rats [133].
2. Folic acid-loaded liposomes administered intranasally enhanced drug absorption through the nasal cavity [134].

Nano emulsions:

Beta-Asarone loaded in nano emulsions and administered intranasally improved bioavailability [130].

Microemulsions:

Tacrine-loaded microemulsions administered intranasally enhanced memory performance [135].

Liquid Crystals:

T. divaricate-loaded liquid crystals delivered trans dermally prolonged drug retention and improved skin penetration [136].

Role of Nanocarriers in Parkinson's Disease (PD)

Parkinson's disease (PD) is the second most common neurodegenerative disorder and presents significant challenges in effective drug delivery and diagnosis [137]. Levodopa, the conventional treatment, suffers from poor bioavailability and limited brain penetration [138]. Nanotechnology offers promising strategies to overcome these limitations, employing metal nanoparticles, quantum dots, cerium oxide nanoparticles, organic nanoparticles, liposomes, and gene therapy to enable drugs to cross the BBB [139,140].

For example, Bhatta Misra et al. demonstrated that retigabine-loaded chitosan nanoparticles delivered via the intranasal route in a rat PD model achieved better brain delivery, as confirmed by pharmacokinetic studies [125].

Ropinirole (RP):

RP-loaded solid lipid nanoparticles (RP-SLNs) and nanostructured lipid carriers (RP-NLCs) in hydrogel formulations improved oral and topical drug delivery [141]. These formulations proved to be effective carriers for delivering RP to the brain for PD treatment [142,143].

Mechanism of Nanoparticles in Brain Drug Delivery (Across BBB)

Nanoparticles are typically administered through intranasal, intraventricular, or intraparenchymal routes, enabling them to cross the BBB due to their small size. Upon reaching the BBB, nanoparticles use mechanisms such as receptor-mediated transport, active transport, or passive diffusion to enter the brain. Their small size facilitates passive diffusion across endothelial cells, and their surface properties allow interaction with brain receptors and ligands to enhance targeted delivery (Figure 3) [144].

Tacrine-loaded polymeric nanoparticles (NPs)

Advantages:

1. Prolonged retention in the brain
2. High biocompatibility
3. Low cost of production
4. Controlled drug release
5. Ability for targeted delivery via ligand conjugation

Disadvantages:

1. Slow biodegradation
2. Potential uncertainty in toxicity[145]

Rivastigmine-loaded polymeric NPs

Advantages:

6. Increased drug concentration in the brain
7. Avoidance of phagocytosis by the reticuloendothelial system (RES)

Disadvantages:

1. Possible increase in oxidative stress
2. Potential toxicity concerns[146]

2. **Piperine-loaded solid lipid nanoparticles (SLNPs)**

Advantages:

1. Extensively studied for drug delivery
2. Reduced side effects compared to free drugs
3. Enhanced therapeutic efficacy
4. Improved drug solubility

Disadvantages:

1. Low drug-loading capacity
2. Rapid clearance by the reticuloendothelial system[147]

3. **Folic-acid-loaded liposomes**

Advantages:

1. Excellent biocompatibility and biodegradability
2. High stability and bioavailability
3. Active surface targeting possible

Disadvantages:

1. Difficulty in lipid binding
2. Lower stability and reduced drug carriage efficiency [148]

Role of Nanocarriers in Major Cancers

Brain Cancer

One of the hardest diseases to successfully treat is brain cancer [150]. The blood–brain barrier (BBB), which restricts the passage of therapeutic medicines to the brain, is a major cause of the problem [151]. The brain's microvascular endothelium forms the blood-brain barrier (BBB), which is a selective barrier that keeps blood and neural tissues apart [152]. By keeping dangerous poisons, xenobiotics, and other metabolic chemicals out of the brain, this barrier is essential for brain protection [153]. Glioma and glioblastoma are two common types of brain cancer that are extremely aggressive and deadly [154].

These cancers occur at a rate of approximately 5.26 cases per 100,000 individuals, equating to around 17,000 new diagnoses annually. Standard treatments typically involve surgery, radiation, and chemotherapy, often combined with temozolomide (TMZ) [155]. Nanoparticles offer significant promise in brain cancer therapy due to their nanoscale size, ability to specifically target tissues, and capability to cross

In recent years, a variety of nanoparticles (NPs) have been explored treatment due to their ability to improve drug delivery across the blood–brain barrier for brain cancer and enhance therapeutic outcomes. Gold nanoparticles (DOX-SL-GG AuNPs) loaded with doxorubicin have shown increased cytotoxic activity through endocytosis in glioma and glioma stem cell lines, particularly in LN-229 and HNGC-2 cells [157,158]. Albumin-bound nanoparticles carrying lapatinib have been effective in constraining migration, invasion, and adhesion of high brain-metastatic cells in murine models [159,160]. Similarly, lipoprotein-like nanoparticles loaded with lapatinib significantly inhibited tumor growth in U87 glioma xenografts in vivo [161,162]. Gold–iron oxide nanocomposites conjugated with curcumin–lipoic acid exhibited greater cytotoxicity against U87MG brain cancer cells compared to normal astrocytes [163,164]. Tocopherol polyethylene glycol chitosan nanoparticles, loaded with docetaxel, enhanced cellular uptake and cytotoxicity in brain melanoma cells due to synergistic bioadhesive effects [165,166]. Methotrexate-loaded chitosan and glycol chitosan

nanoparticles demonstrated cytotoxic effects against C6 glioma cells and overcame multidrug resistance in MDCKII-MDR1 cell lines [167,168]. Furthermore, lipid–drug-conjugated nanoparticles carrying fluorouracil (5-FU) improved the efficacy of chemotherapy for glioma cells both in vitro and in vivo [169,170]. These advances highlight the critical role of nanoparticle-based systems in developing targeted and effective brain cancer therapies.

Breast cancer is one of the leading causes of cancer-related deaths worldwide, with tumors spreading through uncontrolled cell proliferation and invasion via the lymphatic system when malignant [171,172]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), cancer accounts for approximately 13% of global deaths, resulting in around 8.2 million fatalities annually [173]. Among cancers affecting women, breast cancer is the most prevalent and causes higher mortality rates than even lung cancer [174]. In 2012, there were an estimated 1.7 million new cases of female breast cancer, accounting for 25% of cancer deaths globally [175]. The *Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN* report, which analyzes cancer incidence and mortality across 36 cancer types in 185 countries, updated these figures, estimating 19.3 million new cancer cases (18.1 million excluding non-melanoma skin cancer) and nearly 10 million cancer-related deaths (9.9 million excluding non-melanoma skin cancer) in 2020 [176]. Notably, female breast cancer surpassed lung cancer as the most commonly diagnosed cancer, with around 2.3 million new cases (11.7%), followed by lung (11.4%), colorectal (10%), prostate (7.3%), and stomach (5.6%) cancers [177].

Treatment for breast cancer typically involves surgery, chemotherapy, radiation therapy, hormonal therapy, and targeted therapy [178]. Recently, nanotechnology has emerged as a promising approach in breast cancer treatment, with both organic and inorganic nanocarriers being utilized for targeted drug delivery [179]. Nanocarriers improve the solubility of hydrophobic anticancer drugs and ensure precise drug targeting [180]. Organic nanocarriers include polymeric nanoparticles, liposomes, and solid lipid nanoparticles, while inorganic types include magnetic nanoparticles, quantum dots, and carbon nanotubes (CNTs), all demonstrating significant potential in advancing breast cancer therapy [181].

Organic Nanomaterials in Breast Cancer Treatment

In the treatment of breast cancer, a number of organic nanomaterials have been investigated for targeted medication delivery. Letrozole (LTZ) and folic acid have been incorporated into solid lipid nanoparticles (SLNPs) that target folic acid receptors. These nanoparticles showed notable cell membrane damage when tested in vitro on MCF-7 breast cancer cell lines using MTT and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) assays. TUNEL and Caspase-3 activity tests were used to confirm apoptosis induction [182,183]. The effectiveness of curcumin-loaded SLNPs (CURC-SLNs) in combination with doxorubicin (DOX) against triple-negative breast cancer was investigated. According to in vitro findings, CURC-SLNs successfully increased toxicity in cancer cells that expressed P-glycoprotein by five to ten times the cytotoxicity of curcumin in its free form [184,185].

Copolymer–magnetite nanoparticles composed of doxorubicin–core-shell chitosan conjugates were tested against HER2-overexpressing breast cancer cells. These anti-HER2-conjugated chitosan graft pluronic F127 nanoparticles proved effective as drug carriers for targeted anticancer therapy [186,187].

PEGylated ε-poly-l-lysine polymeric nanoparticles carrying both doxorubicin and lapatinib were evaluated on MCF-7 breast cancer cell models. This combination therapy delivered via DMMA-P-DOX/LAP nanoparticles significantly reduced or completely eliminated solid tumors in the tested models [188,189].

Inorganic Nanomaterials in Breast Cancer Treatment

Drug delivery for breast cancer has also made use of inorganic nanomaterials such magnetic nanoparticles and colloidal gold. Using gum acacia as a polysaccharide-based carrier, gemcitabine-hydrochloride (GEM) loaded onto colloidal gold nanoparticles was tested in vitro on the MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell line. The chemotherapeutic medication was delivered to human breast cancer cells with precision thanks to these nanoparticles [190,191].

L-carnosine-coated magnetic nanoparticles (CCMNPs) were evaluated both in vitro and in vivo for their therapeutic effects. These nanoparticles showed high precision in targeting breast cancer cells, leading to a significant reduction in tumor mass without causing systemic toxicity [192,193].

Lung Cancer and Nanocarrier-Based Treatment

The lungs, essential for respiration, consist of airways that channel air in and out, and alveoli that serve as gas exchange sites [194,195]. While the airways form a robust barrier to particle entry, the alveolar wall and capillaries in the gas exchange region are comparatively fragile [196]. This extensive alveolar surface area and efficient blood-air exchange make the lungs more vulnerable to environmental damage, which can contribute to pulmonary diseases such as lung cancer [197]. To overcome the limitations of conventional therapies, various nanoparticles are being developed for respiratory applications. These nanocarriers facilitate treatment for lung disorders including asthma, tuberculosis, emphysema, cystic fibrosis, and cancer [198,199].

Recent advancements in nanoparticle-based lung cancer treatment include:

1. **Poly (L-aspartic acid co lactic acid)/DPPE copolymer nanoparticles** administered via intraperitoneal injection in mouse xenograft models. These nanoparticles, loaded with doxorubicin (DOX), have been applied to treat lung melanoma [200,201].
2. **Poly (β-amino ester) nanoparticles (PBAE)** delivered through intratumoral injection in mouse xenograft models. These self-assembling PBAE polymers complexed with DNA have been tested for transfection efficiency in p53 mutant H446 small cell lung cancer (SCLC) cells [202,203].
3. **Lipid–polymeric nanoparticles** administered intraperitoneally in mice, co-designed with epidermal growth factor (EGF) and loaded with cisplatin and doxorubicin to target lung carcinoma [204].
4. **Co-loaded doxorubicin and cisplatin nanoparticles** delivered via pulmonary administration in mouse models. Methoxy poly-(ethylenimine)-poly(l-glutamate) copolymers were developed to facilitate codelivery of DOX and CDDP for treating metastatic lung melanoma [205,206].
5. **Redox-responsive and pH-sensitive nanoparticles**, prepared through emulsification and solvent evaporation, loaded with Erlotinib (ETB) and modified with PAA-ss-OA. These were administered subcutaneously in mouse xenograft models targeting non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) [207].
6. **Nanoparticle–mesenchymal stem cell (MSC) systems**, where MSCs were loaded with nanoparticles carrying anticancer drugs and administered in rabbits, mice, and monkeys. MSCs demonstrated superior drug uptake compared to fibroblasts, effectively targeting lung melanoma [208,209].
7. **Hyaluronic-acid-based lipid nanoparticles** studied in vitro using dialysis techniques to enhance the efficacy of apigenin (APG) as an Nrf2 inhibitor in combination with docetaxel for NSCLC treatment [210].
8. **MAGE-A3 near-infrared (NIR) luminescent nanoparticles**, applied in vitro and in vivo (mouse models). These hybrid theranostic nanomaterials, coupled with Afatinib, were developed for in situ treatment of lung adenocarcinoma [211].
9. **Hyaluronic-acid-based nanoparticles** tested in vitro and in vivo for targeted delivery of paclitaxel to carcinoma cells. These nanoparticles were shown to overcome drug resistance and effectively inhibit cancer cell growth [212].

Drug Delivery Approaches in Heart Diseases

Cardiovascular diseases encompass a wide range of conditions, including myocardial infarction (MI) [213], ischemic injury, coronary artery disease (CAD), heart arrhythmias, pericardial disease, cardiomyopathy, and congenital heart defects [214,215]. These conditions are among the leading causes of death and disability worldwide [216]. Heart diseases often involve abnormalities in heart structure, impaired function, and damage to cardiac muscle tissue, along with ongoing remodeling and fibrosis [217,218]. Nearly half of MI patients die within five years, highlighting the urgent need for effective therapeutic strategies [216].

This demand has driven advancements in targeted drug delivery to the heart [219], aiming to prevent heart failure following MI [220]. Various nanocarriers have been explored for this purpose, including liposomes, silica nanoparticles, dendrimers, cerium oxide nanoparticles, micelles, titanium dioxide (TiO_2) nanoparticles, stents with nanocoatings, microbubbles, and polymer–drug conjugates. Among these, **magnetic nanoparticles** such as magnetoliposomes (MLs), which combine liposomes with magnetic nanoparticles, have emerged as promising tools for magnetically targeted drug delivery [221]. PEGylation of MLs prolongs their circulation time in blood, while conjugation with antibodies enhances active targeting to specific sites [222].

Namdari and colleagues demonstrated the potential of liposome-based carriers in a murine MI model. Modified nanocarriers — including cationic liposomes, perfluorocarbon nanoparticles, polyelectrolyte nanoparticles, and polymeric nanoparticles — have been engineered to improve drug loading and delivery efficiency into cells [223].

Examples of Nanocarrier Applications in Heart Disease

1. **Polymeric (PLGA) nanoparticles** tested in balloon-injured carotid and stented porcine coronary artery models in rats, loaded with AG-1295 and AGL-2043, showed significant inhibition of restenosis [224–230].
2. **Perfluorocarbon nanoparticles** applied in human plasma clots and hyperlipidemic animal models, carrying a3b integrins, surface-bound streptokinase, and other agents, demonstrated fibrinolytic activity in vitro and therapeutic potential in vivo [231–235].
3. **Cationic nanoparticles** examined in clinical trials involving patients with 60–99% arterial narrowing, delivered via catheter, showed notable improvement in myocardial perfusion. These nanoparticles delivered vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) through a viral vector [236–241].
4. **VEGF nanoparticles**, tested in murine models of myocardial infarction, successfully promoted angiogenesis and improved myocardial perfusion, aiding heart repair in coronary disease [242–245].

Drug Delivery Approaches in Skin Diseases

Follicular and cutaneous illnesses are two broad categories for skin diseases. Nanotechnology is becoming more and more important in modern treatments because it can deliver medications more efficiently and with fewer adverse effects.

Creams, gels, and ointments are examples of traditional formulations that frequently fall short of adequately penetrating skin tissues. Polymeric, lipid-based, and surfactant nanocarriers have been created to get around this restriction.

For instance, polymeric micelles enhance drug penetration into skin layers and are very useful in the treatment of skin malignancies. Research has demonstrated that by enhancing penetration into both the dermal and epidermal layers, chitosan polymeric nanoparticles, liposomes, and gold nanoparticles can improve drug delivery for disorders including atopic dermatitis [246].

Gold nanoparticles, owing to their extremely small size, penetrate the skin efficiently with minimal toxicity and no damage, making them a highly promising option in nanocarrier-based therapies for skin diseases.

Drug Delivery Approaches in Bone Diseases

Bone diseases arise from various pathological factors, including fractures, trauma, osteoporosis, arthritis, infections, and other conditions. Bone regeneration is a highly complex process, and advances in nanotechnology have enabled the fusion of nanomaterials with biomaterials to enhance bone repair. This combination has significantly improved bone implantation and regeneration through the development of advanced bone bioscaffolds [247].

Mechanism of Drug Delivery[248]

Drug Delivery Approaches in Blood Diseases

Blood disorders encompass a variety of conditions, including hematopoietic disorders, iron deficiency, leukemia, anemia, hemophilia, platelet disorders, and blood cancers. Conventional chemotherapeutic treatments often harm the immune system and carry a high risk of mortality. Bone marrow transplants, while effective for certain conditions, are costly and complex procedures. For instance, thalassemia is treated with the chelating agent deferoxamine to remove excess iron from the bloodstream. Recent research has shown that siRNA-coated nanocomposites possess inhibitory effects on tumor cells in vivo [248]. However, the application of nanomedicine in treating blood disorders remains an area of ongoing research and development.

Future Challenges of Nanomedicine

Nanomedicine holds tremendous promise for transforming clinical care, particularly in treating cancers and reducing mortality and morbidity. Nonetheless, several challenges remain. Integrating nanomedicine into clinical practice involves overcoming regulatory, insurance, and public health barriers. At present, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has not established specific regulations for products containing nanomaterials. Additionally, the absence of standardized protocols for nanomaterials and concerns over their safety have limited funding from agencies such as the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) [249]. These challenges must be addressed for nanomedicine to achieve its full clinical potential.

Conclusions

As a subfield of nanotechnology, nanomedicine is quickly becoming recognised as a potent and adaptable method of treating a wide range of illnesses. Nanoparticle-based drug delivery systems are showing promise as therapeutic tools in a variety of medical specialities. For instance, researchers at the University of California are creating methods to use targeted nanovesicles to deliver cardiac stem cells directly to damaged heart tissue, improving cell retention and repair. These developments imply that integrating nanotechnology and stem cell therapy will result in important medical gains. Notwithstanding the potential, safety, toxicity, and standardisation remain concerns with nanomedicine, necessitating further study and regulation. However, because these systems have the potential to overcome the drawbacks of conventional medicine, the need for targeted drug delivery based on nanoparticles is rising rapidly.

Reference

1. Li S., Zhang H., Chen K., Jin M., Vu S.H., Jung S., He N., Zheng Z., Lee M.S. Application of chitosan/alginate nanoparticle in oral drug delivery systems: Prospects and challenges. *Drug Deliv.* 2022;29:1142–1149. doi:10.1080/10717544.2022.2058646. DOI
2. Vlachopoulos A., Karlioti G., Balla E., Daniilidis V., Kalamas T., Stefanidou M., Bikiaris N.D., Christodoulou E., Koumentakou I., Karavas E., et al. Poly (Lactic Acid)-Based Microparticles for Drug Delivery Applications: An Overview of Recent Advances. *Pharmaceutics* 2022;14:359. doi:10.3390/pharmaceutics14020359. DOI
3. Tibbitt M.W., Dahlman J.E., Langer R. Emerging frontiers in drug delivery. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2016;138:704–717. doi:10.1021/jacs.5b09974. DOI
4. Builders P.F., Arhewoh M.I. Pharmaceutical applications of native starch in conventional drug delivery. *Starch-Stärke* 2016;9–10:864–873. doi:10.1002/star.201500337. DOI
5. Alshammari M.K., Alshehri M.M., Alshehri A.M., Alshlali O.M., Mahzari A.M., Almalki H.H., Kulaybi O.Y., Alghazwni M.K., Kamal M., Imran M. Camptothecin loaded nano-delivery systems in the cancer therapeutic

domains: A critical examination of the literature. *J. Drug Deliv. Sci. Technol.* 2022;79:104034. doi:10.1016/j.jddst.2022.104034. DOI
6. Lai H., Liu S., Yan J., Xing F., Xiao P. Facile Fabrication of Biobased Hydrogel from Natural Resources: L-Cysteine, Itaconic Anhydride, and Chitosan. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* 2020;8:4941–4947. doi:10.1021/acssuschemeng.0c00774. DOI
7. Marco-Dufort B., Willi J., Vielba-Gomez F., Gatti F., Tibbitt M.W. Environment Controls Biomolecule Release from Dynamic Covalent Hydrogels. *Biomacromolecules* 2021;22:146–157. doi:10.1021/acs.biomac.0c00895. DOI
8. Smolensky M.H., Peppas N.A. Chronobiology, drug delivery, and chronotherapeutics. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 2018;9–10:828–851. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2007.07.001. DOI
9. Jamieson L.E., Byrne H.J. Vibrational spectroscopy as a tool for studying drug-cell interaction: Could high throughput vibrational spectroscopic screening improve drug development. *Vib. Spectrosc.* 2017;91:16–30. doi:10.1016/j.vibspec.2016.09.003. DOI
10. Mak K.K., Pichika M.R. Artificial intelligence in drug development: Present status and future prospects. *Drug Discov. Today* 2019;24:773–780. doi:10.1016/j.drudis.2018.11.014. DOI
11. Patra J.K., Das G., Fraceto L.F., Campos E.V.R., Rodriguez-Torres M.D.P., Acosta-Torres L.S., Diaz-Torres L.A., Grillo R., Swamy M.K., Sharma S., et al. Nano based drug delivery systems: Recent developments and future prospects. *J. Nanobiotechnol.* 2018;16:1–33. doi:10.1186/s12951-018-0392-8. DOI
12. Jain K.K. Drug delivery systems—An overview. *Drug Deliv. Syst.* 2008;437:1–50. doi:10.1007/978-1-59745-210-6_1. DOI
13. Ma C., Peng Y., Li H., Chen W. Organ-on-a-Chip: A new paradigm for drug development. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 2021;42:119–133. doi:10.1016/j.tips.2020.11.009. DOI
14. Su Y., Xie Z., Kim G.B., Dong C., Yang J. Design strategies and applications of circulating cell-mediated drug delivery systems. *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.* 2005;4:201–217. doi:10.1021/ab500179h. DOI
15. Baig N., Kammakakam I., Falath W. Nanomaterials: A review of synthesis methods, properties, recent progress, and challenges. *Mater. Adv.* 2021;2:1821–1871. doi:10.1039/D0MA00807A. DOI
16. Prasad R.D., Charmode N., Shrivastav O.P., Prasad S.R., Moghe A., Sarvalkar P.D., Prasad N.R. A review on concept of nanotechnology in veterinary medicine. *ES Food Agrofor.* 2021;4:28–60. doi:10.30919/esfaf481. DOI
17. Lateef A., Darwesh O.M., Matter I.A. Microbial Nanobiotechnology. Springer; Singapore: 2021. Microbial nanobiotechnology: The melting pot of microbiology, microbial technology and nanotechnology; pp.1–19. Google Scholar
18. Mansor N.I., Nordin N., Mohamed F., Ling K.H., Rosli R., Hassan Z. Crossing the blood-brain barrier: A review on drug delivery strategies for treatment of the central nervous system diseases. *Curr. Drug Deliv.* 2019;16:698–711. doi:10.2174/1567201816666190828153017. DOI
19. Mughal T.A., Ali S., Hassan A., Kazmi S.A.R., Saleem M.Z., Shakir H.A., Nazer S., Farooq M.A., Awan M.Z., Khan M.A., et al. Phytochemical screening, antimicrobial activity, in vitro and in vivo antioxidant activity of Berberis lycium Royle root bark extract. *Braz. J. Biol.* 2021;84. doi:10.1590/1519-6984.249742. DOI
20. Bonifácio B.V., da Silva P.B., dos Santos Ramos M.A., Negri K.M.S., Bauab T.M., Chorilli M. Nanotechnology-based drug delivery systems and herbal medicines: A review. *Int. J. Nanomed.* 2014;9:1–15. doi:10.2147/IJN.S52634. DOI
21. Astruc D. Introduction to Nanomedicine. *Molecules* 2016;21:4. doi:10.3390/molecules21010004. DOI
22. Peer D., Karp J.M., Hong S., Farokhzad O.C., Margalit R., Langer R. Nanocarriers as an emerging platform for cancer therapy. *Nano-Enabled Med. Appl.* 2021:61–91. doi:10.1201/9780429399039-2. DOI
23. Cleal K., He L., Watson P.D., Jones A.T. Endocytosis, intracellular traffic and fate of cell penetrating peptide-based conjugates and nanoparticles. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* 2013;19:2878–2894. doi:10.2174/1381612813199990297. DOI
24. Mirza A.Z., Siddiqui F.A. Nanomedicine and drug delivery: A mini review. *Int. Nano Lett.* 2014;4:94. doi:10.1007/s40089-014-0094-7. DOI
25. Dizaj S.M., Jafari S., Khosroushahi A.Y. A sight on the current nanoparticle-based gene delivery vectors. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* 2014;9:252. doi:10.1186/1556-276X-9-252. DOI
26. Hussain Z. Nanomedicines as emerging platform for simultaneous delivery of cancer therapeutics: New developments in overcoming drug resistance and optimizing anticancer efficacy. *Artif. Cells Nanomed. Biotechnol.* 2018;46:1015–1024. doi:10.1080/21691401.2018.1478420. DOI
27. Carmeliet P., Jain R.K. Principles and mechanisms of vessel normalization for cancer and other angiogenic diseases. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 2011;10:417–427. doi:10.1038/nrd3455. DOI
28. Cho H.-Y. Tumor homing reactive oxygen species nanoparticle for enhanced cancer therapy. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2019;11:23909–23918. doi:10.1021/acsami.9b07483. DOI
29. Dilnawaz F., Acharya S., Sahoo S.K. Recent trends of nano-medicinal approaches in clinics. *Int. J. Pharm.* 2018;538:263–278. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2018.01.016. DOI

30. Tran S., DeGiovanni P.-J., Piel B., Rai P. Cancer nanomedicine: A review of recent success in drug delivery. *Clin. Transl. Med.* 2017;6:1–21. doi:10.1186/s40169-017-0175-0. DOI
31. Cheng R., Meng F., Deng C., Klok H.-A., Zhong Z. Dual and multi-stimuli responsive polymeric nanoparticles for programmed site-specific drug delivery. *Biomaterials* 2013;34:3647–3657. doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2013.01.084. DOI
32. Ge Z., Liu S. Functional block copolymer assemblies responsive to tumor and intracellular microenvironments for site-specific drug delivery and enhanced imaging performance. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2013;42:7289–7325. doi:10.1039/c3cs60048c. DOI
33. Liu Z., Jiang W., Nam J., Moon J.J., Kim B.Y. Immunomodulating nanomedicine for cancer therapy. *Nano Lett.* 2018;18:6655–6659. doi:10.1021/acs.nanolett.8b02340. DOI
34. Iyer A.K., Singh A., Ganta S., Amiji M.M. Role of integrated cancer nanomedicine in overcoming drug resistance. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 2013;65:1784–1802. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2013.07.012. DOI
35. MaHam A., Tang Z., Wu H., Wang J., Lin Y. Protein-based nanomedicine platforms for drug delivery. *Small* 2009;5:1706–1721. doi:10.1002/smll.200801602. DOI
36. Harrington K.J. Phase II study of pegylated liposomal doxorubicin (CaelyxTM) as induction chemotherapy for patients with squamous cell cancer of the head and neck. *Eur. J. Cancer* 2001;37:2015–2022. doi:10.1016/S0959-8049(01)00216-7. DOI
37. Torchilin V.P. Recent advances with liposomes as pharmaceutical carriers. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 2005;4:145–160. doi:10.1038/nrd1632. DOI
38. Couvreur P., Vauthier C. Poly alkyl cyanoacrylate nanoparticles as drug carrier: Present state and perspectives. *J. Control. Release* 1991;17:187–198. doi:10.1016/0168-3659(91)90058-L. DOI
39. Vauthier C., Dubernet C., Chauvierre C., Brigger I., Couvreur P. Drug delivery to resistant tumors: The potential of poly (alkyl cyanoacrylate) nanoparticles. *J. Control. Release* 2003;93:151–160. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2003.08.005. DOI
40. Shinto Y., Uchida A., Korkusuz F., Araki N., Ono K. Calcium hydroxyapatite ceramic used as a delivery system for antibiotics. *J. Bone Joint Surg. Br.* 1992;74:600–604. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.74B4.1320622. DOI
41. Esterhai J.L., Jr., Bednar J., Kimmelman C.P. Gentamicin-induced ototoxicity complicating treatment of chronic osteomyelitis. *Clin. Orthop.* 1986;209:185–188. doi:10.1097/00003086-198608000-00025. DOI
42. Couvreur P., Puisieux F. Nano-and microparticles for the delivery of polypeptides and proteins. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 1993;10:141–162. doi:10.1016/0169-409X(93)90046-7. DOI
43. Hwang S.R., Byun Y. Advances in oral macromolecular drug delivery. *Expert Opin. Drug Deliv.* 2014;11:1955–1967. doi:10.1517/17425247.2014.945420. DOI
44. Müller J.J., Lukowski G., Kröber R., Damaschun G., Dittgen M. Acrylic acid copolymer nanoparticles for drug delivery: Structural characterization of nanoparticles by small-angle x-ray scattering. *Colloid Polym. Sci.* 1994;272:755–769. doi:10.1007/BF00652416. DOI
45. Lukowski G., Müller R.H., Müller B.W., Dittgen M. Acrylic acid copolymer nanoparticles for drug delivery. Part II: Characterization of nanoparticles surface-modified by adsorption of ethoxylated surfactants. *Colloid Polym. Sci.* 1993;271:100–105. doi:10.1007/BF00652310. DOI
46. Fresta M., Puglisi G., Giammona G., Cavallaro G., Micali N., Furneri P.M. Pefloxacine mesilate-and ofloxacin-loaded poly ethyl cyanoacrylate nanoparticles: Characterization of the colloidal drug carrier formulation. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 1995;74:895–902. doi:10.1002/jps.2600840721. DOI
47. Cavallaro G., Fresta M., Giammona G., Puglisi G., Villari A. Entrapment of β-lactams antibiotics in polyethylcyanoacrylate nanoparticles: Studies on the possible in vivo application of this colloidal delivery system. *Int. J. Pharm.* 1994;111:31–41. doi:10.1016/0378-5173(94)90399-9. DOI
48. Pardridge W.M. Physiologic-based strategies for protein drug delivery to the brain. *J. Control. Release* 1996;39:281–286. doi:10.1016/0168-3659(95)00161-1. DOI
49. Partridge W.M. Drug and gene targeting to the brain via blood–brain barrier receptor-mediated transport systems. *Int. Congr. Ser.* 2005;1277:49–62. doi:10.1016/j.ics.2005.02.011. DOI
50. Labhasetwar V., Song C., Levy R.J. Nanoparticle drug delivery system for restenosis. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 1997;24:63–85. doi:10.1016/S0169-409X(96)00483-8. DOI
51. Labhasetwar V., Underwood T., Schwendeman S.P., Levy R.J. Iontophoresis for modulation of cardiac drug delivery in dogs. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1995;92:2612–2616. doi:10.1073/pnas.92.7.2612. DOI
52. Kwon G.S. Diblock copolymer nanoparticles for drug delivery. *Crit. Rev. Ther. Drug Carr. Syst.* 1998;15:481–512. doi:10.1615/CritRevTherDrugCarrierSyst.v15.i5.20. DOI
53. Kataoka K., Harada A., Nagasaki Y. Block copolymer micelles for drug delivery: Design, characterization and biological significance. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 2012;64:37–48. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2012.09.013. DOI
54. Fernández-Urrusuno R., Calvo P., Remuñán-López C., Vila-Jato J.L., Alonso M.J. Enhancement of nasal absorption of insulin using chitosan nanoparticles. *Pharm. Res.* 1999;16:1576–1581. doi:10.1023/A:1018908705446. DOI

55. Zhang X., Zhang H., Wu Z., Wang Z., Niu H., Li C. Nasal absorption enhancement of insulin using PEG-grafted chitosan nanoparticles. *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.* 2008;68:526–534. doi:10.1016/j.ejpb.2007.08.009. DOI
56. Kong G., Braun R.D., Dewhirst M.W. Hyperthermia enables tumor-specific nanoparticle delivery: Effect of particle size. *Cancer Res.* 2000;60:4440–4445. PubMed
57. May J.P., Li S.-D. Hyperthermia-induced drug targeting. *Expert Opin. Drug Deliv.* 2013;10:511–527. doi:10.1517/17425247.2013.758631. DOI
58. Calvo P. PEGylated poly cyanoacrylate nanoparticles as vector for drug delivery in prion diseases. *J. Neurosci. Methods* 2001;111:151–155. doi:10.1016/S0165-0270(01)00450-2. DOI
59. Collinge J. Molecular neurology of prion disease. *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry* 2005;76:906–919. doi:10.1136/jnnp.2004.048660. DOI
60. Qian Z.M., Li H., Sun H., Ho K. Targeted drug delivery via the transferrin receptor-mediated endocytosis pathway. *Pharmacol. Rev.* 2002;54:561–587. doi:10.1124/pr.54.4.561. DOI
61. Ulbrich K., Hekmatara T., Herbert E., Kreuter J. Transferrin-and transferrin-receptor-antibody modified nanoparticles enable drug delivery across the blood–brain barrier (BBB). *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.* 2009;71:251–256. doi:10.1016/j.ejpb.2008.08.021. DOI
62. Shankar S.S., Ahmad A., Pasricha R., Sastry M. Bio reduction of chloroaurate ions by geranium leaves and its endophytic fungus yields gold nanoparticles of different shapes. *J. Mater. Chem.* 2003;13:1822–1826. doi:10.1039/b303808b. DOI
63. Panyam J., Labhasetwar V. Targeting intracellular targets. *Curr. Drug Deliv.* 2004;1:235–247. doi:10.2174/1567201043334768. DOI
64. Ashihara H., Suzuki T. Distribution and biosynthesis of caffeine in plants. *Front. Biosci.* 2005;9:1864–7336. doi:10.2741/1367. DOI
65. Paciotti G.F., Kingston D.G., Tamarkin L. Colloidal gold nanoparticles: A novel nanoparticle platform for developing multifunctional tumor-targeted drug delivery vectors. *Drug Dev. Res.* 2006;67:47–54. doi:10.1002/ddr.20066. DOI
66. Hattori Y., Maitani Y. Folate-linked lipid-based nanoparticle for targeted gene delivery. *Curr. Drug Deliv.* 2005;2:243–252. doi:10.2174/1567201054368002. DOI
67. Lu Y., Low P.S. Folate-mediated delivery of macromolecular anticancer therapeutic agents. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 2002;54:675–693. doi:10.1016/S0169-409X(02)00042-X. DOI
68. Xiao S. Preparation of folate-conjugated starch nanoparticles and its application to tumor-targeted drug delivery vector. *Chin. Sci. Bull.* 2006;51:1693–1697. doi:10.1007/s11434-006-2039-7. DOI
69. Yu D., Xiao S., Tong C., Chen L., Liu X. Dialdehyde starch nanoparticles: Preparation and application in drug carrier. *Chin. Sci. Bull.* 2007;52:2913–2918. doi:10.1007/s11434-007-0388-5. DOI
70. Han G., Ghosh P., Rotello V.M. Multi-functional gold nanoparticles for drug delivery. In: Chan W.C.W., editor. *Bio-Applications of Nanoparticles*. Springer; New York, NY, USA: 2007. pp. 48–56. doi:10.1007/978-0-387-74092-2_3. DOI
71. Ghosh P., Han G., De M., Kim C.K., Rotello V.M. Gold nanoparticles in delivery applications. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 2008;60:1307–1315. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2008.03.016. DOI
72. Kim H.S., Lee D.Y. Near-infrared-responsive cancer photothermal and photodynamic therapy using gold nanoparticles. *Polymers* 2018;10:961. doi:10.3390/polym10090961. DOI
73. Cheng Y., Samia A.C., Meyers J.D., Panagopoulos I., Fei B., Burda C. Highly efficient drug delivery with gold nanoparticle vectors for in vivo photodynamic therapy of cancer. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008;130:10643–10647. doi:10.1021/ja801631c. DOI
74. Gazori T., Khoshayand M.R., Azizi E., Yazdizade P., Nomani A., Haririan I. Evaluation of alginate/chitosan nanoparticles as antisense delivery vector: formulation, optimization and in vitro characterization. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 2009;77:599–606. doi:10.1016/j.carbpol.2009.02.019. DOI
75. Sarmento B., Ribeiro A.J., Veiga F., Ferreira D.C., Neufeld R.J. Insulin-loaded nanoparticles are prepared by alginate ionotropic pre-gelation followed by chitosan polyelectrolyte complexation. *J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.* 2007;7:2833–2847. doi:10.1166/jnn.2007.609. DOI
76. Rosenholm J.M., Peuhu E., Bate-Eya L.T., Eriksson J.E., Sahlgren C., Lindén M. Cancer-cell-specific induction of apoptosis using mesoporous silica nanoparticles as drug-delivery vectors. *Small* 2010;6:1234–1241. doi:10.1002/smll.200902355. DOI
77. Kohler N., Sun C., Wang J., Zhang M. Methotrexate-modified superparamagnetic nanoparticles and their intracellular uptake into human cancer cells. *Langmuir* 2005;21:8858–8864. doi:10.1021/la0503451. DOI
78. Alhaddad A. Nanodiamond as a vector for siRNA delivery to Ewing sarcoma cells. *Phys. Q.-Bio.* 2011;21:3087–3095. doi:10.1002/smll.201101193. DOI
79. Mengesha A.E., Youan B.C. Diamond-based materials for biomedical applications. In: Elsevier; Amsterdam, The Netherlands: 2013. pp. 186–205. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-416002-6.00010-3. DOI

80. Arjunan N.K., Murugan K., Rejeeth C., Madhiyazhagan P., Barnard D.R. Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles for the control of mosquito vectors of malaria, filariasis, and dengue. Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2012;12:262–268. doi:10.1089/vbz.2011.0661. DOI
81. Jadoun S., Arif R., Jangid N.K., Meena R.K. Green synthesis of nanoparticles using plant extracts: A review. Environ. Chem. Lett. 2021;19:355–374. doi:10.1007/s10311-020-01074-x. DOI
82. Brown P.K., Qureshi A.T., Moll A.N., Hayes D.J., Monroe W.T. Silver nanoscale antisense drug delivery system for photoactivated gene silencing. ACS Nano 2013;7:2948–2959. doi:10.1021/nn304868y. DOI
83. Minelli C., Lowe S.B., Stevens M.M. Engineering nanocomposite materials for cancer therapy. Small 2010;21:2336–2357. doi:10.1002/smll.201000523. DOI
84. Rajeshkumar S. Synthesis of silver nanoparticles using fresh bark of Pongamia pinnata and characterization of its antibacterial activity against gram-positive and gram-negative pathogens. Resour.-Effic. Technol. 2016;2:30–35. doi:10.1016/j.reffit.2016.06.003. DOI
85. Beg M. Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles using Pongamia pinnata seed: Characterization, antibacterial property, and spectroscopic investigation of interaction with human serum albumin. J. Mol. Recognit. 2017;30:e2565. doi:10.1002/jmr.2565. DOI
86. Urbán P., Ranucci E., Fernández-Busquets X. Polyamidoamine nanoparticles as nanocarriers for the drug delivery to malaria parasite stages in the mosquito vector. Nanomed. 2015;10:3401–3414. doi:10.2217/nnm.15.174. DOI
87. Chamundeeswari M., Jeslin J., Verma M.L. Nanocarriers for drug delivery applications. Environ. Chem. Lett. 2019;17:849–865. doi:10.1007/s10311-018-00841-1. DOI
88. Kulbacka J. Electroporation and lipid nanoparticles with cyanine IR-780 and flavonoids as efficient vectors to enhanced drug delivery in colon cancer. Bioelectrochemistry 2016;110:19–31. doi:10.1016/j.bioelechem.2016.02.013. DOI
89. Lamichhane T.N., Raiker R.S., Jay S.M. Exogenous DNA loading into extracellular vesicles via electroporation is size-dependent and enables limited gene delivery. Mol. Pharm. 2015;12:3650–3657. doi:10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut.5b00364. DOI
90. Ju Z., Sun W. Drug delivery vectors based on filamentous bacteriophages and phage-mimetic nanoparticles. Drug Deliv. 2017;24:1898–1908. doi:10.1080/10717544.2017.1410259. DOI
91. Jahromi M.A.M., Zangabad P.S., Basri S.M.M., Zangabad K.S., Ghamarypour A., Aref A.R. Recent progress in targeted delivery vectors based on biomimetic nanoparticles. Signal Transduct. Target. Ther. 2021;6:225. doi:10.1038/s41392-021-00631-2. DOI
92. Aljabali A.A. Innovative applications of plant viruses in drug targeting and molecular imaging — A review. Curr. Med. Imaging 2021;17:491–506. doi:10.2174/1573405616666201007160243. DOI
93. Slita A., Egorova A., Casals E., Kiselev A., Rosenholm J.M. Characterization of modified mesoporous silica nanoparticles as vectors for siRNA delivery. Asian J. Pharm. Sci. 2018;13:592–599. doi:10.1016/j.ajps.2018.01.006. DOI
94. Wu S.H., Mou C.Y., Lin H.P. Synthesis of mesoporous silica nanoparticles. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013;42:3862–3875. doi:10.1039/c3cs35405a. DOI
95. Garg U., Chauhan S., Nagaich U., Jain N. Current advances in chitosan nanoparticles based drug delivery and targeting. Adv. Pharm. Bull. 2019;9:195–204. doi:10.15171/apb.2019.023. DOI
96. Pathak C., Vaidya F.U., Pandey S.M. Mechanism for development of nanobased drug delivery system. Appl. Target. Nano Drugs Deliv. Syst. 2019;1:35–67. Google Scholar
97. Ghaz-Jahanian M.A., Abbaspour-Aghdam F., Anarjan N., Berenjian A., Jafarizadeh-Malmiri H. Application of chitosan-based nanocarriers in tumor-targeted drug delivery. Mol. Biotechnol. 2015;57:201–218. doi:10.1007/s12033-014-9816-3. DOI
98. Assa F. Chitosan magnetic nanoparticles for drug delivery systems. Crit. Rev. Biotechnol. 2017;37:492–509. doi:10.1080/07388551.2016.1185389. DOI
99. Li Y., Wang S., Song F.X., Zhang L., Yang W., Wang H.X. A pH-sensitive drug delivery system based on folic acid-targeted HBP-modified mesoporous silica nanoparticles for cancer therapy. Colloids Surf. Physicochem. Eng. Asp. 2020;590:124470. doi:10.1016/j.colsurfa.2020.124470. DOI
100. Shafiei N., Nasrollahzadeh M., Iravani S. Green synthesis of silica and silicon nanoparticles and their biomedical and catalytic applications. Comments Inorg. Chem. 2021;41:317–372. doi:10.1080/02603594.2021.1904912. DOI

101. Shariatinia Z. Inorganic Material-Based Nanocarriers for Delivery of Biomolecules. Nanoeng. Biomater. Biomed. Appl. 2022;2:245–293. [Google Scholar]
102. Ho W., Gao M., Li F., Li Z., Zhang X., Xu X. Next-Generation Vaccines: Nanoparticle-Mediated DNA and mRNA Delivery. Adv. Healthc. Mater. 2021;10:2001812. doi:10.1002/adhm.202001812. DOI

103. Thi T.T., Suys E.J., Lee J.S., Nguyen D.H., Park K.D., Truong N.P. Lipid-based nanoparticles in the clinic and clinical trials: From cancer nanomedicine to COVID-19 vaccines. Vaccines 2021;9:359. doi:10.3390/vaccines9040359. DOI
104. Mallakpour S., Azadi E., Hussain C.M. The latest strategies in the fight against the COVID-19 pandemic: The role of metal and metal oxide nanoparticles. New J. Chem. 2021;45:6167–6179. doi:10.1039/D1NJ00047K. DOI
105. Kelleni M.T. Resveratrol-zinc nanoparticles or pterostilbene-zinc: Potential COVID-19 mono and adjuvant therapy. Biomed. Pharmacother. 2021;139:111626. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2021.111626. DOI
106. Zhang H., Zhang L., Zhong H., Niu S., Ding S., Lv S. Iridium oxide nanoparticles-based theranostic probe for in vivo tumor imaging and synergistic chem/photothermal treatments of cancer cells. Chem. Eng. J. 2022;430:132675. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2021.132675. DOI
107. Cao S., Deng Y., Zhang L., Aleahmad M. Chitosan nanoparticles, as biological macromolecule-based drug delivery systems to improve the healing potential of artificial neural guidance channels: A review. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 2022;201:569–579. doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2022.01.017. DOI
108. Fricke I.B., Schelhaas S., Zinnhardt B., Viel T., Hermann S., Couillard-Després S., Jacobs A.H. In vivo bioluminescence imaging of neurogenesis—The role of the blood brain barrier in an experimental model of Parkinson's disease. Eur. J. Neurosci. 2017;45:975–986. doi:10.1111/ejn.13540. DOI
109. Komarova Y.A., Kruse K., Mehta D., Malik A.B. Protein Interactions at Endothelial Junctions and Signaling Mechanisms Regulating Endothelial Permeability. Circ. Res. 2017;120:179–206. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.306534. DOI
110. Stamatovic S.M., Keep R.F., Andjelkovic A.V. Brain endothelial cell-cell junctions: How to 'open' the blood brain barrier. Curr. Neuropharmacol. 2008;6:179–192. doi:10.2174/157015908785777210. DOI
111. Sahni J.K., Doggui S., Ali J., Baboota S., Dao L., Ramassamy C. Neurotherapeutic applications of nanoparticles in Alzheimer's disease. J. Control. Release. 2012;152:208–231. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2010.11.033. DOI
112. Nazıroğlu M., Muhamad S., Pecze L. Nanoparticles as potential clinical therapeutic agents in Alzheimer's disease: Focus on selenium nanoparticles. Expert Rev. Clin. Pharmacol. 2017;16:73–782. doi:10.1080/17512433.2017.1324781. DOI
113. Kaur I.P., Bhandari R., Bhandari S., Kakkar V. Potential of solid lipid nanoparticles in brain targeting. J. Control. Release. 2008;127:97–109. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2007.12.018. DOI
114. Alam M.I., Beg S., Samad A., Baboota S., Kohli K., Ali J., Ahuja A., Akbar M. Strategy for effective brain drug delivery. Eur. J. Pharm. Sci. 2010;40:385–403. doi:10.1016/j.ejps.2010.05.003. DOI
115. Chen Y., Wei C., Lyu Y., Chen H., Jiang G., Gao X. Biomimetic drug-delivery systems for the management of brain diseases. Biomater. Sci. 2020;8:1073–1088. doi:10.1039/C9BM01395D. DOI
116. Kreuter J. Nanoparticles system for brain delivery of drugs. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 2001;47:65–81. doi:10.1016/S0169-409X(00)00122-8. DOI
117. Chen Y., Dalwadi G., Benson H.A.E. Drug delivery across the blood—Brain barrier. Curr. Drug Deliv. 2004;1:361–376. doi:10.2174/1567201043334542. DOI
118. Fundaro A., Cavalli R., Bagoni A., Vighetto D., Zara G.P., Gasco M.R. Non-stealth and stealth solid lipid nanoparticles(sln) carrying doxorubicin: Pharmacokinetic and tissue distribution after IV administration to rats. Pharm. Res. 2000;42:337–343. doi:10.1006/phrs.2000.0695. DOI
119. Venkateshwarlu V., Manjunath K. Preparation, characterization and in vitro release kinetics of clozapine Solid Lipid Nanoparticles. J. Control. Release. 2004;65:627–638. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2004.01.005. DOI
120. Olbrich C., Kayser O. 121.Cavalli R., Caputo O., Carlotti M.E., Trotta M., Scarnecchia C., Gasco M.R. Sterilization and freeze drying of drug-free and drug-loaded solid lipid nanoparticles. Int. J. Pharm. 1997;148:47–54. doi: 10.1016/S0378-5173(96)04822-3. [DOI] [Google Scholar]
121. Jenning V., Gysler A., Schäfer-Korting M., Gohla S.H. Vitamin A loaded solid lipid nanoparticles for topical use: Occlusive properties and drug targeting to the upper skin. Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 2000;49:211–218. doi: 10.1016/S0939-6411(99)00075-2. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
122. Olbrich C., Gessner A., Schroder W., Kayser O., Muller R.H. Lipid drug conjugate nanoparticles of the hydrophilic drug diminazine-cytotoxicity testing and mouse serum adsorption. J. Control. Release. 2004;96:425–435. doi: 10.1016/j.jconrel.2004.02.024. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
123. Schwarz C., Mehnert W., Lucks J.S., Muller R.H. Solid lipid nanoparticles for controlled drug delivery. I. Production, characterization and sterilization. J. Control. Release. 1994;96:83–96. doi: 10.1016/0168-3659(94)90047-7. [DOI] [Google Scholar]
124. Zimmermann E., Müller R.H., Mader K. Influence of different parameters on reconstitution of lyophilized SLN. Int. J. Pharm. 2000;196:211–213. doi: 10.1016/S0378-5173(99)00424-X. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
125. Kreuter J. Physicochemical characterization of polyacrylic nanoparticles. Int. J. Pharm. 1983;65:43–58. doi: 10.1016/0378-5173(83)90113-8. [DOI] [Google Scholar]
126. Wissing S.A., Kayser O., Müller R.H. Solid lipid nanoparticles for parenteral drug delivery. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 2004;56:1257–1272. doi: 10.1016/j.addr.2003.12.002. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

127. Müller R.H., Rühl D., Runge S.A. Biodegradation of solid lipid nanoparticles as a function of lipase incubation time. Int. J. Pharm. 1996;144:115–121. doi: 10.1016/S0378-5173(96)04731-X. [DOI] [Google Scholar]
128. Müller R.H., Rühl D., Runge S.A. Biodegradation of solid lipid nanoparticles as a function of lipase incubation time. Int. J. Pharm. 1996;144:115–121. doi:10.1016/S0378-5173(96)04731-X. DOI
129. Lai F., Fadda A.M., Sinico C. Liposomes for brain delivery. Expert Opin. Drug Deliv. 2013;10:1003–1022. doi:10.1517/17425247.2013.766714. DOI
130. Samad A., Sultana Y., Aqil M. Liposomal drug delivery systems: An update review. Curr. Drug Deliv. 2007;4:297–305. doi:10.2174/156720107782151269. DOI
131. Leonor Pinzon-Daza M., Campia I., Kopecka J., Garzón R., Ghigo D., Riganti C. Nanoparticle-and liposome-carried drugs: New strategies for active targeting and drug delivery across blood–brain barrier. Curr. Drug Metab. 2013;14:625–640. doi:10.2174/1389200211314060001. DOI
132. Gharbavi M., Amani J., Kheiri-Manjili H., Danafar H., Sharafi A. Niosome: A promising nanocarrier for natural drug delivery through blood–brain barrier. Adv. Pharmacol. Sci. 2018;2018:6847971. doi:10.1155/2018/6847971. DOI
133. Bhattamisra S.K., Shak A.T., Xi L.W., Safian N.H., Choudhury H., Lim W.M., Shahzad N., Alhakamy N.A., Anwer M.K., Radhakrishnan A.K., et al. Nose-to-brain delivery of rotigotine-loaded chitosan nanoparticles in human SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells and animal model of Parkinson's disease. Int. J. Pharm. 2020;579:119148. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2020.119148. DOI
134. Fan Y., Chen M., Zhang J., Maincent P., Xia X., Wu W. Updated progress of nanocarrier-based intranasal drug delivery systems for treatment of brain diseases. Crit. Rev. Ther. Drug Carrier Syst. 2018;5:433–467. doi:10.1615/CritRevTherDrugCarrierSyst.2018024697. DOI
135. Dong X. Current strategies for brain drug delivery. Theranostics 2018;8:1481–1493. doi:10.7150/thno.21254. DOI
136. Rafiee Z., Nejatian M., Daeihamed M., Jafari S.M. Application of different nanocarriers for encapsulation of curcumin. Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 2019;59:3468–3497. doi:10.1080/10408398.2018.1495174. DOI
137. Wilson B., Samanta M.K., Santhi K., Kumar K.P.S., Paramakrishnan N., Suresh B. Targeted delivery of tacrine into the brain with polysorbate 80-coated poly(n-butylcyanoacrylate) nanoparticles. Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 2008;70:75–84. doi:10.1016/j.ejpb.2008.03.009. DOI
138. Fonseca-Santos B., Gremião M.P.D., Chorilli M. Nanotechnology-based drug delivery systems for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease. Int. J. Nanomed. 2015;10:4981–5003. doi:10.2147/IJN.S87148. DOI
139. Yusuf M., Khan M., Khan R.A., Ahmed B. Preparation, characterization, in vivo and biochemical evaluation of brain-targeted Piperine solid lipid nanoparticles in an experimentally induced Alzheimer's disease model. J. Drug Target. 2013;21:300–311. doi:10.3109/1061186X.2012.747529. DOI
140. Patel P.A., Patil S.C., Kalaria D.R., Kalia Y.N., Patravale V.B. Comparative in vitro and in vivo evaluation of lipid-based nanocarriers of Huperzine A. Int. J. Pharm. 2013;446:16–23. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2013.02.014. DOI
141. Mourtas S., Lazar A.N., Markoutsa E., Duyckaerts C., Antimisiaris S.G. Multifunctional nanoliposomes with curcumin–lipid derivative and brain targeting functionality with potential applications for Alzheimer disease. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2014;80:175–183. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2014.04.050. DOI
142. Ravouru N., Kondreddy P., Korakanchi D.H.M. Formulation and evaluation of niosomal nasal drug delivery system of folic acid for brain targeting. Curr. Drug Discov. Technol. 2013;10:270–282. doi:10.2174/15701638113109990031. DOI
143. Hersh A.M., Alomari S., Tyler B.M. Crossing the blood–brain barrier: Advances in nanoparticle technology for drug delivery in neuro-oncology. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2022;23:4153. doi:10.3390/ijms23084153. DOI
144. Zorkina Y., Abramova O., Ushakova V., Morozova A., Zubkov E., Valikhov M., Melnikov P., Majouga A., Chekhonin V. Nanocarrier drug delivery systems for the treatment of neuropsychiatric disorders: Advantages and limitations. Molecules 2020;25:5294. doi:10.3390/molecules25225294. DOI
145. Agrawal M., Saraf S., Saraf S., Antimisiaris S., Hamano N., Li S.-D., Chougule M., Shoyele S.A., Gupta U., Uddin A., et al. Recent advancements in nanotechnology for the delivery of anti-Alzheimer drugs to the brain. Expert Opin. Drug Deliv. 2018;15:589–617. doi:10.1080/17425247.2018.1471058. DOI
146. Shah P., Chavda K., Vyas B., Patel S. Formulation development of linagliptin solid lipid nanoparticles for oral bioavailability enhancement: Role of P-gp inhibition. Drug Deliv. Transl. Res. 2021;11:1166–1185. doi:10.1007/s13346-020-00839-9. DOI
147. Li X., Tsibouklis J., Weng T., Zhang B., Yin G., Feng G., Cui Y., Savina I.N., Mikhalovska L.I., Sandeman S.R., et al. Nanocarriers for drug transport across the blood–brain barrier. J. Drug Target. 2017;25:17–28. doi:10.1080/1061186X.2016.1184272. DOI
148. Majumder J., Taratula O., Minko T. Nanocarrier-based systems for targeted and site-specific therapeutic delivery. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 2018;144:57–77. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2019.07.010. DOI

149. Jogani V.V., Shah P.J., Mishra P., Mishra A.K., Misra A.R. Intranasal mucoadhesive microemulsion of tacrine to improve brain targeting. Alzheimer Dis. Assoc. Disord. 2008;22:116–124. doi:10.1097/WAD.0b013e318157205b. DOI
150. Chaiyana W., Rades T., Okonogi S. Characterization and in vitro permeation study of microemulsions and liquid crystalline systems containing the anticholinesterase alkaloidal extract from Tabernaemontana divaricata. Int. J. Pharm. 2013;452:201–210. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2013.05.005. DOI
151. Hayes M.T. Parkinson's disease and parkinsonism. Am. J. Med. 2019;132:802–807. doi:10.1016/j.amjmed.2019.03.001. DOI
152. Ishihara L., Brayne C. A systematic review of depression and mental illness preceding Parkinson's disease. Acta Neurol. Scand. 2006;113:211–220. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0404.2006.00579.x. DOI
153. Kyle S., Saha S. Nanotechnology for the detection and therapy of stroke. Adv. Healthc. Mater. 2014;3:1703–1720. doi:10.1002/adhm.201400009. DOI
154. Ghazy E., Rahdar A., Barani M., Kyzas G.Z. Nanomaterials for Parkinson disease: Recent progress. J. Mol. Struct. 2021;1231:129698. doi:10.1016/j.molstruc.2020.129698.
155. Dudhipala N., Gorre T. Neuroprotective effect of ropinirole lipid nanoparticles enriched hydrogel for Parkinson's disease: In vitro, ex vivo, pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic evaluation. Pharmaceutics 2020;12:448. doi:10.3390/pharmaceutics12050448. DOI
156. Barcia E., Boeva L., García-García L., Slowing K., Fernández-Carballido A., Casanova Y. Nanotechnology-based drug delivery of ropinirole for Parkinson's disease. Drug Deliv. 2017;24:1112–1123. doi:10.1080/10717544.2017.1359862. DOI
157. Cacciatore I., Ciulla M., Fornasari E., Marinelli L., Di Stefano A. Solid lipid nanoparticles as a drug delivery system for the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases. Expert Opin. Drug Deliv. 2016;13:1121–1131. doi:10.1080/17425247.2016.1178237. DOI
158. Jha A., Mukhopadhaya K. Alzheimer's disease. Springer; Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany: 2021. Supporting Diagnosis and Treatment; pp. 65–85. [Google Scholar]
159. McMahon D., O'Reilly M.A., Hynynen K. Therapeutic agent delivery across the blood–brain barrier using focused ultrasound. Annu. Rev. Biomed. Eng. 2021;23:89–113. doi:10.1146/annurev-bioeng-062117-121238. DOI
160. Bhaskar S., Tian F., Stoeger T., Kreyling W., de la Fuente J.M., Grazú V. Multifunctional nanocarriers for diagnostics, drug delivery and targeted treatment across blood–brain barrier: Perspectives on tracking and neuroimaging. Part. Fibre Toxicol. 2010;7:3. doi:10.1186/1743-8977-7-3. DOI
161. Saraiva C., Praça C., Ferreira R., Santos T., Ferreira L., Bernardino L. Nanoparticle-mediated brain drug delivery: Overcoming blood–brain barrier to treat neurodegenerative diseases. J. Control. Release 2016;235:34–47. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2016.05.044. DOI
162. Mendez G., Ozpinar A., Raskin J., Gultekin S.H., Ross D.A. Case comparison and literature review of glioblastoma: A tale of two tumors. Surg. Neurol. Int. 2014;5:121. doi:10.4103/2152-7806.138034. DOI
163. Omuro A., DeAngelis L.M. Glioblastoma and other malignant gliomas: A clinical review. JAMA 2013;310:1842–1850. doi:10.1001/jama.2013.280319. DOI
164. Zhao Z., Ukidve A., Kim J., Mitragotri S. Targeting strategies for tissue-specific drug delivery. Cell 2020;181:151–167. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.001. DOI
165. Prasad B.L.V. Cytotoxicity of sophorolipid-gellan gum-gold nanoparticle conjugates and their doxorubicin loaded derivatives towards human glioma and human glioma stem cell lines. Nanoscale 2011;3:575–580. doi:10.1039/c0nr00598c. DOI
166. Mazur J., Roy K., Kanwar J.R. Recent advances in nanomedicine and survivin targeting in brain cancers. Nanomedicine 2018;13:105–137. doi:10.2217/nnm-2017-0286. DOI
167. Wan X., Zheng X., Pang X., Pang Z., Zhao J., Zhang Z. Lapatinib-loaded human serum albumin nanoparticles for the prevention and treatment of triple-negative breast cancer metastasis to the brain. Oncotarget 2016;7:34038–34051. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.8697. DOI
168. Erin N., Kale Ş., Tanrıöver G., Köksoy S., Duymuş Ö., Korcum A.F. Differential characteristics of heart, liver, and brain metastatic subsets of murine breast carcinoma. Breast Cancer Res. Treat. 2013;139:677–689. doi:10.1007/s10549-013-2584-0. DOI
169. Gao H., Yang Z., Cao S., Xi Z., Zhang S., Pang Z. Behavior and anti-glioma effect of lapatinib-incorporated lipoprotein-like nanoparticles. Nanotechnology 2012;23:435101. doi:10.1088/0957-4484/23/43/435101. DOI
170. Bonde G.V., Yadav S.K., Chauhan S., Mittal P., Ajmal G., Thokala S., Mishra B. Lapatinib nano-delivery systems: A promising future for breast cancer treatment. Expert Opin. Drug Deliv. 2018;15:495–507. doi:10.1080/17425247.2018.1449832. DOI
171. Ghorbani M., Bigdeli B., Jalili-Baleh L., Baharifar H., Akrami M., Dehghani S. Curcumin-lipoic acid conjugate as a promising anticancer agent on the surface of gold-iron oxide nanocomposites: A pH-sensitive targeted drug delivery system for brain cancer theranostics. Eur. J. Pharm. Sci. 2018;114:175–188. doi:10.1016/j.ejps.2017.12.008. DOI

172. Kim E.H., Sohn S., Kwon H.J., Kim S.U., Kim M.J., Lee S.J., Choi K.S. Sodium selenite induces superoxide-mediated mitochondrial damage and subsequent autophagic cell death in malignant glioma cells. Cancer Res. 2007;67:6314–6324. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-4217. DOI
173. Agrawal P., Singh R.P., Sonali, Kumari L., Sharma G., Koch B. TPGS-chitosan cross-linked targeted nanoparticles for effective brain cancer therapy. Mater. Sci. Eng. C 2017;74:167–176. doi:10.1016/j.msec.2017.02.008. DOI
174. Muthu M.S., Avinash Kulkarni S., Liu Y., Feng S.-S. Development of docetaxel-loaded vitamin E TPGS micelles: Formulation optimization, effects on brain cancer cells and biodistribution in rats. Nano Diam. 2012;7:353–364. doi:10.2217/nnm.11.111. DOI
175. Lara-Velazquez M., Alkharboosh R., Norton E.S., Ramirez-Loera C., Freeman W.D., Guerrero-Cazares H. Chitosan-based non-viral gene and drug delivery systems for brain cancer. Front. Neurol. 2020;11:740. doi:10.3389/fneur.2020.00740. DOI
176. Denora N., Trapani A., Laquintana V., Lopedota A., Trapani G. Recent advances in medicinal chemistry and pharmaceutical technology: Strategies for drug delivery to the brain. Curr. Top. Med. Chem. 2009;9:182–196. doi:10.2174/156802609787521571. DOI
177. Shinde G., Shiyani S., Shelke S., Chouthe R., Kulkarni D., Marvaniya K. Enhanced brain targeting efficiency using 5-FU (fluorouracil) lipid–drug conjugated nanoparticles in brain cancer therapy. Prog. Biomater. 2020;9:259–275. doi:10.1007/s40204-020-00147-y. DOI
178. Arias J.L., Clares B., Morales M.E., Gallardo V., Ruiz M.A. Lipid-based drug delivery systems for cancer treatment. Curr. Drug Targets 2011;12:1151–1165. doi:10.2174/138945011795906570. DOI
179. Folkman J. Role of angiogenesis in tumor growth and metastasis. Semin. Oncol. 2002;29:15–18. doi:10.1053/sonc.2002.37263. DOI
180. Wicki A., Witzigmann D., Balasubramanian V., Huwyler J. Nanomedicine in cancer therapy: Challenges, opportunities, and clinical applications. J. Control. Release 2015;200:138–157. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2014.12.030. DOI
181. Bray F., Ferlay J., Soerjomataram I., Siegel R.L., Torre L.A., Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J. Clin. 2018;68:394–424. doi: 10.3322/caac.21492. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar
182. Dey S., Soliman A.S. Cancer in the global health era: Opportunities for the Middle East and Asia. Asia Pac. J. Public Health. 2010;22:75S–82S. doi: 10.1177/1010539510372846. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
183. Torre L.A., Bray F., Siegel R.L., Ferlay J., Lortet-Tieulent J., Jemal A. Global cancer statistics, 2012. CA Cancer J. Clin. 2015;65:87–108. doi: 10.3322/caac.21262. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
184. Çelikgün S., Koç T., Tuncer E., Özer H., Nur N. Cancer Map between 2010–2019 Sivas City. Int. J. Acad Med. Pharm. 2021;3:273–276. doi: 10.29228/jamp.52068. [DOI] [Google Scholar]
185. Sung H., Ferlay J., Siegel R.L., Laversanne M., Soerjomataram I., Jemal A. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. CA Cancer J. Clin. 2020;71:209–249. doi: 10.3322/caac.21660. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
186. Maughan K.L., Lutterbie M.A., Ham P. Treatment of breast cancer. Am. Fam. Physician. 2010;81:1339–1346. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
187. Jin K.-T., Lu Z.-B., Chen J.-Y., Liu Y.-Y., Lan H.-R., Dong H.-Y. Recent trends in nanocarrier-based targeted chemotherapy: Selective delivery of anticancer drugs for effective lung, colon, cervical, and breast cancer treatment. J. Nanomater. 2020;2020:9184284. doi: 10.1155/2020/9184284. [DOI] [Google Scholar]
188. Biswas S., Kumari P., Lakhani P.M., Ghosh B. Recent advances in polymeric micelles for anti-cancer drug delivery. Eur. J. Pharm. Sci. 2016;83:184–202. doi: 10.1016/j.ejps.2015.12.031. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
189. Lee J.J., Saiful Yazan L., Che Abdullah C.A. A review on current nanomaterials and their drug conjugate for targeted breast cancer treatment. Int. J. Nanomed. 2017;12:2373–2384. doi: 10.2147/IJN.S127329. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
190. Yassemi A., Kashanian S., Zhaleh H. Folic acid receptor-targeted solid lipid nanoparticles to enhance cytotoxicity of letrozole through induction of caspase-3 dependent-apoptosis for breast cancer treatment. Pharm. Dev. Technol. 2020;25:397–407. doi: 10.1080/10837450.2019.1703739. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
191. Chen Q., Zheng J., Yuan X., Wang J., Zhang L. Folic acid grafted and tertiary amino based pH-responsive pentablock polymeric micelles for targeting anticancer drug delivery. Mater. Sci. Eng. 2018;82:1–9. doi: 10.1016/j.msec.2017.08.026. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
192. Chen Y., Tezcan O., Li D., Beztsinna N., Lou B., Etrych T., Ulbrich K., Metselaar J.M., Lammers T., Hennink W.E. Overcoming multidrug resistance using folate receptor-targeted and pH-responsive polymeric nanogels containing covalently entrapped doxorubicin. Nanoscale. 2017;9:10404–10419. doi: 10.1039/C7NR03592F. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
193. Fathy Abd-Ellatef G.-E., Gazzano E., Chirio D., Ragab Hamed A., Belisario D.C., Zuddas C. Curcumin-Loaded Solid Lipid Nanoparticles Bypass P-Glycoprotein Mediated Doxorubicin Resistance in Triple Negative Breast

Cancer Cells. Pharmaceutics. 2020;12:96. doi: 10.3390/pharmaceutics12020096. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
194. Wang W., Chen T., Xu H., Ren B., Cheng X., Qi R., Liu H., Wang Y., Yan L., Chen S., et al. Curcumin-loaded solid lipid nanoparticles enhanced anticancer efficiency in breast cancer. Molecules. 2018;12:1578. doi: 10.3390/molecules23071578. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
195. Riganti C., Gazzano E., Gulino G.R., Volante M., Ghigo D., Kopecka J. Two repeated low doses of doxorubicin are more effective than a single high dose against tumors overexpressing P-glycoprotein. Cancer Lett. 2015;23:219–226. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2015.02.008. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
196. Naruphontjirakul P., Viravaidya-Pasuwat K. Development of anti-HER2-targeted doxorubicin–core-shell chitosan nanoparticles for the treatment of human breast cancer. Int. J. Nanomed. 2019;14:4105–4121. doi: 10.2147/IJN.S198552. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
197. Naruphontjirakul P., Viravaidya-Pasuwat K. Development of doxorubicin—Core Shell chitosan nanoparticles to treat Cancer; Proceedings of the 2011 International Conference on Biomedical Engineering and Technology; Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. 4–5 June 2011. [Google Scholar]
198. Di H., Wu H., Gao Y., Li W., Zou D., Dong C. Doxorubicin-and cisplatin-loaded nanostructured lipid carriers for breast cancer combination chemotherapy. Drug Dev. Ind. Pharm. 2016;42:2038–2043. doi: 10.1080/03639045.2016.1190743. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
199. Namdari M., Cheraghi M., Negahdari B., Eatemadi A., Daraee H. Recent advances in magnetoliposome for heart drug delivery. Artif. Cells Nanomed. Biotechnol. 2017;45:1051–1057. doi: 10.1080/21691401.2017.1299159. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
200. Looga R. Reflex cardiovascular responses to lung inflation: A review. Respir. Physiol. 1997;12:95–106. doi: 10.1016/S0034-5687(97)00049-2. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
201. Garcia-Mouton C., Hidalgo A., Cruz A., Pérez-Gil J. The Lord of the Lungs: The essential role of pulmonary surfactant upon inhalation of nanoparticles. Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 2016;144:230–243. doi: 10.1016/j.ejpb.2019.09.020. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
202. Jud C., Clift M., Petri-Fink A., Rothen-Rutishauser B. Nanomaterials and the human lung: What is known and what must be deciphered to realize their potential advantages? Swiss Med. Wkly. 2013;143:w13758. doi: 10.4414/smw.2013.13758. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
203. Donaldson K., Poland C.A. Inhaled nanoparticles and lung cancer—What we can learn from conventional particle toxicology. Swiss Med. Wkly. 2012;142:w13547. doi: 10.4414/smw.2012.13547. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
204. Kuzmov A., Minko T. Nanotechnology approaches for inhalation treatment of lung diseases. J. Control. Release. 2015;219:500–518. doi: 10.1016/j.jconrel.2015.07.024. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
205. Azarmi S., Roa W.H., Löbenberg R. Targeted delivery of nanoparticles for the treatment of lung diseases. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 2008;60:863–875. doi: 10.1016/j.addr.2007.11.006. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
206. Ruge C.A., Kirch J., Schneider M., Lehr C.-M. Pulmonary drug delivery: From generating aerosols to overcoming biological barriers—Therapeutic possibilities and technological challenges. Lancet Respir. Med. 2013;1:402–413. doi:10.1016/S2213-2600(13)70078-3. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
207. Patton J.S., Byron P.R. Inhaling medicines: Delivering drugs to the body through the lungs. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 2007;6:67–74. doi:10.1038/nrd2153. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
208. Patil R., Sarasija S. Pulmonary drug delivery strategies: A concise, systematic review. Int. J. Pharm. Investig. 2012;2:12–19. doi:10.4103/2230-973X.96917. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
209. Patton J.S., Fishburn C.S., Weers J.G. The lungs as a portal of entry for systemic drug delivery. Proc. Am. Thorac. Soc. 2004;1:338–344. doi:10.1513/pats.200403-013MS. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
210. Labiris N.R., Dolovich M.B. Pulmonary drug delivery. Part I: Physiological factors affecting therapeutic effectiveness of aerosolized medications. Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 2003;56:588–599. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2125.2003.02056.x. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
211. Patton J.S., Rubin B.K. Nanomedicine for respiratory diseases. Respirology. 2013;18:843–853. doi:10.1111/resp.12105. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
212. Carvalho T.C., Peters J.I., Williams R.O. Influence of particle size on regional lung deposition—What evidence is there? Int. J. Pharm. 2011;406:1–10. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2010.12.040. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
213. Patton J.S. Mechanisms of macromolecule absorption by the lungs. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 1996;19:3–36. doi:10.1016/0169-409X(95)00038-0. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
214. Mansour H.M., Rhee Y.-S., Wu X. Nanomedicine in pulmonary delivery. Int. J. Nanomedicine. 2009;4:299–319. doi:10.2147/IJN.S5184. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
215. Patton J.S., Byron P.R. Inhalation delivery of drugs for systemic effect. AAPS J. 2007;9:E167–E175. doi:10.1208/aapsj090107. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
216. Newman S.P., Busse W.W. Evolution of dry powder inhaler design, formulation, and performance. Respir. Med. 2002;96:293–304. doi:10.1053/rmed.2002.1273. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

217. Labiris N.R., Dolovich M.B. Pulmonary drug delivery. Part II: The role of inhalant devices and drug formulation. Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 2003;56:600–612. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2125.2003.02057.x. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
218. Lavorini F., Magnan A., Dubus J.C., Voshaar T., Corbetta L., Broeders M., Barnes P.J., Dekhuijzen R., Roche N. Effect of incorrect use of dry powder inhalers on management of patients with asthma and COPD. Respir. Med. 2008;102:593–604. doi:10.1016/j.rmed.2007.10.003. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
219. Dhand R. Aerosol delivery devices in COPD: Challenges and solutions. Respir. Care. 2005;50:69–80. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
220. Dolovich M.B., Dhand R. Aerosol drug delivery: Developments in device design and clinical use. Lancet. 2011;377:1032–1044. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61347-2. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
221. Thielmann H., Linz W., Wiermann M., Lücke C., Hamm C.W. Local drug delivery to the lung. Int. J. Cardiol. 2006;112:285–290. doi:10.1016/j.ijcard.2006.05.051. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
222. Rau J.L. Practical aspects of aerosol therapy in asthma. Clin. Chest Med. 2000;21:11–23. doi:10.1016/S0272-5231(05)70188-2. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
223. Finlay W.H. The mechanics of inhaled pharmaceutical aerosols: An introduction. Academic Press, 2001. [Google Scholar]
224. Hickey A.J. Inhalation Aerosols: Physical and Biological Basis for Therapy. CRC Press, 2002. [Google Scholar]
225. Byron P.R. Mathematical models of drug delivery to the lung. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 1998;26:3–20. doi:10.1016/S0169-409X(98)00030-1. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
226. Newman S.P. Principles of metered-dose inhaler design. Respir. Care. 2005;50:1177–1190. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
227. Labiris N.R., Dolovich M.B. Pulmonary drug delivery. Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 2003;56:588–599. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2125.2003.02056.x. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
228. Hickey A.J., Mansour H.M., Telko M.J. Controlled pulmonary drug delivery. Respir. Care. 2007;52:209–223. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
229. Rau J.L., Dhand R. Aerosol therapy for obstructive lung diseases. Curr. Opin. Pulm. Med. 2003;9:104–112. doi:10.1097/00063198-200303000-00004. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
230. Patravale V.B., Date A.A., Kulkarni R.M. Nanosuspensions: A promising drug delivery strategy. J. Pharm. Pharmacol. 2004;56:827–840. doi:10.1211/0022357023697. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
231. Andrade J., Khairy P., Dobrev D., Nattel S. The Clinical Profile and Pathophysiology of Atrial Fibrillation. Circ. Res. 2014;114:1453–1468. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.114.303211. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
232. Tahere T., Zohreh V., Robabeh M., Mehrdad N. Quality of Nursing Documentations in CCU by Hospital Information System (HIS). IJCCN. 2012;5:53–62. [Google Scholar]
233. Muñoz-Aguirre P., Flores M., Macias N., Quezada A.D., Denova-Gutiérrez E., Salmerón J. The effect of vitamin D supplementation on serum lipids in postmenopausal women with diabetes: A randomized controlled trial. Clin. Nutr. 2015;34:799–804. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2014.10.002. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
234. Bartels K., Karhausen J., Clambey E.T., Grenz A., Eltzschig H.K. Perioperative organ injury. Anesthesiology. 2013;119:1474–1489. doi:10.1097/ALN.0000000000000022. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
235. Fattahi H., Laurent S., Liu F., Arsalani N., Elst L.V., Muller R.N. Magnetoliposomes as multimodal contrast agents for molecular imaging and cancer nanotheragnostics. Nanomedicine. 2011;6:529–544. doi:10.2217/nnm.11.14. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
236. Soenen S.J., Velde G.V., Ketkar-Atre A., Himmelreich U., De Cuyper M. Magnetoliposomes as magnetic resonance imaging contrast agents. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Nanomed. Nanobiotechnol. 2011;3:197–211. doi:10.1002/wnan.122. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
237. Banai S., Chorny M., Gertz S.D., Fishbein I., Gao J., Perez L. Locally delivered nanoencapsulated tyrphostin (AGL-2043) reduces neointima formation in balloon-injured rat carotid and stented porcine coronary arteries. Biomaterials. 2005;26:451–461. doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2004.02.040. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
238. McDowell G., Slevin M., Krupinski J. Nanotechnology for the treatment of coronary in stent restenosis: A clinical perspective. Vasc. Cell. 2011;3:1–5. doi:10.1186/2045-824X-3-8. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
239. Chen J., Pan H., Lanza G.M., Wickline S.A. Perfluorocarbon nanoparticles for physiological and molecular imaging and therapy. Adv. Chronic Kidney Dis. 2013;20:466–478. doi:10.1053/j.ackd.2013.08.004. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
240. Cheraghi M., Negahdari B., Daraee H., Eatemadi A. Heart targeted nanoliposomal/nanoparticles drug delivery: An updated review. Biomed. Pharmacother. 2017;86:316–323. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2016.12.009. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
241. Yin X., Fu Y., Yutani C., Ikeda Y., Enjyoji K., Kato H. HVJ-AVE liposome-mediated Tissue Factor Pathway Inhibitor (TFPI) gene transfer with recombinant TFPI (rTFPI) irrigation attenuates restenosis in atherosclerotic arteries. Int. J. Cardiol. 2009;135:245–248. doi:10.1016/j.ijcard.2008.02.009. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

242. Haeri A., Sadeghian S., Rabbani S., Shirani S., Anvari M.S., Dadashzadeh S. Physicochemical characteristics of liposomes are decisive for their antirestenosis efficacy following local delivery. Nanomedicine. 2017;12:131–145. doi:10.2217/nnm-2016-0294. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
243. Oduk Y., Zhu W., Kannappan R., Zhao M., Borovjagin A.V., Oparil S. VEGF nanoparticles repair the heart after myocardial infarction. Am. J. Physiol.-Heart Circ. Physiol. 2018;314:H278–H284. doi:10.1152/ajpheart.00471.2017. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
244. Schwarz E.R. Evaluation of the effects of intramyocardial injection of DNA expressing vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) in a myocardial infarction model in the rat—Angiogenesis and angioma formation. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 2000;35:1323–1330. doi:10.1016/S0735-1097(00)00522-2. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
245. Güngör S., Kahraman E. Nanocarriers mediated cutaneous drug delivery. Eur. J. Pharm. Sci. 2021;158:105638. doi:10.1016/j.ejps.2020.105638. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
246. Zhou J., Zhang Z., Joseph J., Zhang X., Ferdows B.E., Patel D.N., Chen W., Banfi G., Molinaro R., Cosco D., et al. Biomaterials and nanomedicine for bone regeneration: Progress and future prospects. Exploration. 2021;2:20210011. doi:10.1002/EXP.20210011. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
247. Hussein-Al-Ali S.H., Hussein M.Z., Bullo S., Arulselvan P. Chlorambucil-iron oxide nanoparticles as a drug delivery system for leukemia cancer cells. Int. J. Nanomedicine. 2021;16:6205. doi:10.2147/IJN.S312752. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
248. Gonzalez-Valdivieso J., Girotti A., Schneider J., Arias F.J. Advanced nanomedicine and cancer: Challenges and opportunities in clinical translation. Int. J. Pharm. 2021;599:120438. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2021.120438. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
249. Tewabe A., Abate A., Tamrie M., Seyfu A., Siraj E.A. Targeted drug delivery—From magic bullet to nanomedicine: Principles, challenges, and future perspectives. J. Multidiscip. Healthc. 2021;14:1711. doi:10.2147/JMDH.S313968. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]